

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,940	03/01/2002	Tetsuya Higuchi	0020-4963P	5645	
2292 75	590 08/05/2003			7	
	VART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			WILSON, DONALD R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
	•	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 					
• 1		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/069,940		HIGUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	7		
		Donald R Wilson		1713	-1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-fina	ıl.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.				•		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/aré withdraw	vn from considerati	on.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) 🗌 🤈	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	, ,	-				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC			

Application/Control Number: 10/069,940

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction Requirement

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

**Group I**, claim(s) 1-7 and 10-14, drawn to a process comprising steps of fluoropolymer coagulation, drying while kneading, and admixing a mixture of vulcanizing agent and accelerator.

**Group II**, claim(s) 8-9, drawn to a process comprising steps of fluoropolymer coagulation, drying, followed by kneading, and admixing a mixture of vulcanizing agent and accelerator.

**Group III**, claim(s) 15, drawn to drawn to a process comprising steps of fluoropolymer coagulation, drying while kneading, and admixing a both a mixture of vulcanizing agent and accelerator, and vulcanizing agent

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: For the reasons set forth in the International Preliminary Examination Report of parent application PCT/JP00/05906, incorporated herein by reference, inventions of at least Group I lack an inventive step over US Patent 3,876,616 in view of JP 55-45734 and JP 56-90836 (each cited in the international Search Report). Accordingly, unity of invention is lacking because the special technical feature linking the inventions, do not provide a contribution over the prior art.
  - 13.2 Circumstances in Which the Requirement of Unity of Invention Is to Be Considered Fulfilled

    Where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions inventions are or more of the same or

technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. (Underlining added.)

4. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,940

Art Unit: 1713

. . . . /

## **Election of Species Requirement**

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- 6. The species are as follows:
  - a. fluorine containing elastomeric copolymers,
  - b. vulcanizing agents, and
  - c. vulcanization accelerators.
- 7. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of (a), (b) and (c) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). The following claim(s) appear to be generic to the above species in their respective groups: Claims 1, 3-10 and 15...
- 9. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the same reasons set forth in the International Preliminary Examination Report discussed above.

### Conclusion to Restriction/Election Requirement

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Andrew D.Meikle on 8/1/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

res: 8/1/03

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/069,940

Art Unit: 1713

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. R Wilson whose telephone number is 703-308-2398.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 703-308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. The unofficial direct fax phone number to the Examiner's desk is 703-872-9029.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2351.

D. R Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713 Page 4